RESEARCH



Changes in morphological traits, anatomical and molecular alterations caused by gamma-rays and zinc oxide nanoparticles in spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) plant

Amina A. Aly[®] · Gehan Safwat · Noha E. Eliwa · Ahmed H. M. Eltawil · M. H. Abd El-Aziz

Received: 2 March 2023 / Accepted: 21 April 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract Spinach seeds were irradiated with gamma-rays after that soaked in zinc oxide nanoparticles (ZnO-NPs) at 0.0, 50, 100 and 200 ppm for twenty-four hours at room temperature. Vegetative plant growth, photosynthetic pigments, and proline contents were investigated. Also, anatomical studies and the polymorphism by the SCoT technique were conducted. The present results revealed that the germination percentage was at the maximum values for the treatment of 100 ppm ZnO-NPs (92%), followed by 100 ppm ZnO-NPs+60 Gy (90%). The application of ZnO-NPs resulted in an enhancement in the plant length. The maximum of chlorophylls and carotenoids content was recorded in the treatment, 100 ppm ZnO-NPs+60 Gy. Meanwhile, the irradiation dose level (60 Gy) with all ZnO-NPs treatments increased proline content and reached its maximum increase to 1.069 mg/g FW for the treatment 60 Gy combined with 200 ppm ZnO-NPs. Also, the

A. A. Aly (⊠) · N. E. Eliwa Natural Products Department, National Center for Radiation Research and Technology, Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority (EAEA), Cairo, Egypt e-mail: aly_amina@yahoo.co.uk

G. Safwat · A. H. M. Eltawil Faculty of Biotechnology, October University for Modern Science and Arts (MSA), Giza, Egypt

M. H. Abd El-Aziz Genetic Department Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura

University, Mansoura, Egypt

anatomical studies declared that there were variations between the treatments: un-irradiated and irradiated combined with ZnO-NPs plants which reveal that the leave epidermal tissue increased with 200 ppm ZnO-NPs in both the upper and lower epidermis. While irradiated plants with 60 Gy combined with 100 ppm ZnO-NPs gave more thickness of upper epidermis. As well as SCoT molecular marker technique effectively induced molecular alterations between the treatments. Where, SCoT primers targeted many new and missing amplicons that are expected to be associated with the lowly and highly expressed genes with 18.2 and 81.8%, respectively. Also, showed that the soaking in ZnO-NPs was helped for reducing molecular alteration rate, both spontaneous and induced by gamma irradiation. This nominates ZnO-NPs as potential nano-protective agents that can reduce irradiation-induced genetic damage.

Keywords Gamma irradiation · ZnO-NPs · Morphological traits · Anatomy · SCoT · Molecular alterations · *Spinacia oleracea*

Introduction

Nanoparticles (NPs) technique appeared to have many advantages in agriculture at the start of the twenty-first century (Fraceto et al. 2016), and produced a surplus of 231 products in different agricultural fields (Rajput et al. 2021). This will increase the focus on this technology to avoid the use of chemical fertilizer for sustainable crop production and food safety to fulfil the food demand of the speedily rising worldwide population (Usman et al. 2020; Servin and White 2016). Nano-industry is largely depending on zinc-based NPs mainly in cosmetics industry (Carrouel et al. 2020), medicine (Anselmo and Mitragotri 2019), foods, and solar cells (Shende et al. 2021).

The affirmative and harmful effects of the use of ZnO–NPs on plant development are dependent on the used concentration (Rajput et al. 2021; Faizan et al. 2020). The achievement of nano-fertilizers is owed to the very small particles that are simply absorbed by plant roots. It is also, attributed to their large surface area that magnifies their benefits by increasing the plant sorption effectiveness (El-Saadony et al. 2021). Nanoparticle synthesis refers to creating small sized particles with favorable properties at dimensions lower than 100 nm, and thus may be carried out by chemicals or physical techniques. Nano-fertilizers increase the nutrient use effectiveness (NUE) by three folds and they also provide stress tolerance capability (Pruthvi Raj and Chandrashekara 2021).

The findings of the investigations that now exist show that various plant species react differently to nano-scale material. The yield development and yield attributes were owing to zinc, which is engaged in chlorophyll production throughout its impact on proteins, carbohydrates, and energy metabolism. Furthermore, if it is of nanosized the action speeds will be faster. Zinc ions are important for the activities of many enzymes and plants, as well zinc is required for chlorophyll biosynthesis which in turn contributes to theyield (Rahmani et al. 2016). Nanoparticles are atomic or molecular assemblies that have special physicochemical characteristics, like a high area to volume ratio, high antimicrobial activity, high photocatalytic reactivity, and a lower melting point, which are directly linked by their small size dimension of less than 100 nm (Zhang et al. 2019; Sabir et al. 2014). Plants are superior, and able to absorb chemicals substance in nanoparticle form than other, more conventional, and massive types because of their small size (Shende et al. 2021). The interaction between nanoparticles and plants cell, which cause both positive or negative morpho-physiological alterations, depend on the chemicals constitutes, sizes, shapes, the surface covering, reactivity, concentrations, and mode of nanoparticles application as

well as the genotype, age, and developmental phase (Rajput et al. 2021; Torabian et al. 2016; Siddiqui et al. 2015).

Spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) is a leafy vegetable crop that is commonly grown in open fields and kept environment throughout the Mediterranean basin. Due to its leaves' great dietary content and significant bioactive properties, it is a popular ingredient in many dishes and food products (Galla et al. 2016). Additionally, it has fewer calories but a higher concentration of bioactive compounds that exhibit strong antioxidant activity (Xu and Leskovar 2015). In addition to having a high concentration of minerals, spinach may also have a high concentration of anti-nutrients like nitrates and oxalates, which have serious negative effects on human health, and should be taken into account, along with appropriate agricultural practices which are usually applied to limit the levels of such contents (Hmelak Gorenjak and Cenci^{*}c 2013).

Gamma-rays are identified as affecting plant growth and causing cytological, genetically, physiologically, morphologically, and biochemical alterations in the plant tissues, these alterations depend on the dose intensity low or high (El-Beltagi et al. 2022; Aly et al. 2021a; Aly et al. 2019a, b).

Treating plants with NPs may lead to genetic variation depending on NP-size, type, and concentrations (Tymoszuk and Kulus 2020). Little is known about the genotoxicity of nanoparticles in plants, in order to achieve this, several of DNAbased methods, including Inter Simple Sequence Repeats (ISSR) and Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA (RAPD), have been successfully used to accomplish this aim in different plants (Plaksenkova et al. 2019; Yang et al. 2018). The use of the SCoT marker would be significantly more effective than other random markers because of the longer primer distance and high annealing temperature. It is a polymorphism reproducible marker based on the short conserved regions in plant genes around the ATG translation start codon (Collard and Mackill 2009). The SCoT markers design did not need any specified genome sequence knowledge, making it possible to apply to plants that do not have genome references (Xiong et al. 2011). In the current study, SCoT polymorphisms have been created as the main marker to detect the diversity between the effects of gamma irradiation,

ZnO–NPs at different concentrations, and their combinations.

Genetic relationships among a group of genotypes through molecular and phenotypic data representation may be performed utilizing multivariate techniques, which may intensify the information of many alleles and loci into several copied variables. Correspondence Analysis (CA) is one of the multivariate techniques that is an extension of the Principal Components analysis (Liu et al. 2022; Guo et al. 2022). This technique is described as a multivariate method to visualize categorical data in the graphical display using chi-square distances (Greenacre 1984). It serves as a supplementary analysis to the genetic distances matrix among different genotypes. Correspondence analysis tends to provide results like those obtained from cluster analysis dendrograms but is more informative and accurate than them, especially when there is a genetic exchange among close genotypes (Pissard et al. 2008). Also, CA is suitable for graphically exploring the relationship between two or more categorical variables and can lead to the stimulaing illustration of genotypes and loci as a cloud of points in metric spaces. Where it provides useful information about inertia or dispersion which is related togenetic diversity (Canon et al. 2001; Menexes and Koutsos 2021).

Therefore this investigation was carried out to evaluate the combined impact of gamma-rays at dose level (60 Gy) and ZnO–NPs at concentration (0.0, 50, 100, and 200 ppm) on morphological, anatomical as well as molecular diversity that occurred within the spinach plants using the SCoT marker.

Materials and methods

Zinc oxide nanoparticles were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich as nano-powder with \geq 99.5% metal basis.

Irradiation treatments

Spinacia oleracea L healthy dry-seeds dash variety (spinach dash, Denmark) imported by Garra and Partners Company, Bab El-Khalk, Cairo-Egypt. The spinach seeds were packed in a polypropylene bag and then irradiated using gamma-rays (60 Gy) at a dose rate of 0.950 kGy h^{-1} at irradiation time. The irradiation treatments have been done at the Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority (EAEA), National Centre for Radiation Research and Technology (NCRRT), Cairo-Egypt utilizing the research irradiator (⁶⁰Co Gamma cell 220).

The dose applied in this study was 60 Gy as well as the untreated seeds were served as control, prior to gamma irradiation the seeds were soaked in different concentrations of ZnO–NPs (0.0, 50, 100, as well as 200 ppm) for 24 h at room temperature (25 °C). The germinated seedling ratio of M0 seeds was evaluated at 15 days after sowing.

Experiment design

This study was conducted as a field experiment in the greenhouse belonging to the Natural Products Dept., NCRRT, Cairo–Egypt, during the 2021–2022 winter season. Spinach seeds were planted in the greenhouse where they were spaced 50 cm apart and 30 cm between plants on both ends of the rows. All environmental requirements have been met, and agricultural needs have done. The study site's soil mechanical and chemical assessments are provided in Table 1.

Vegetative plant growth

The soaked seeds of (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) were grown utilizing conventional agricultural practices for two months, after that morphological characteristics were analyzed; plant length, leaf length, leaf numbers per plant, and roots length.

Photosynthetic pigments

A spectrophotometric method was used to determine spinach leaf chlorophylls a, b, and carotenoids (Vernon and Seely 1966). Fresh leaf samples (0.5 g) were homogenized in a mortar with 85% acetone in the presence of washed dried sand and $CaCo_3$ (Ca 0.1 g) in order to neutralize organic acids in the homogenate of the fresh leaves. The homogenate was then filtered through a sintered glass funnel. The residue was washed several times with acetone until the filtrate became colorless. The optical density of obtained

 SO_4

11.7

A. Physical properties Sand% Silt% Clay% Soil texture 57.3 21.2 21.5 Sandy clay loam B. Chemical properties E.C. (ds/m) pН Cations (meg/l) Anions (meq/l) Ca⁺⁺ Mg⁺⁺ K^+ Na⁺ $CO_3^{=}$ HCO₃⁻ Cl-2.2 7.32 10.5 0.50 1.5 4.5 3.5 11.2 8.0

Table 1 Physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil

extracts was determined using a spectrophotometer (Jasco model V-530, Tokyo, Japan) at 662, 644 nm for chlorophyll a and chlorophyll b, respectively, as well as 440.5 nm for carotenoids. The pigment contents were measured in mg/g FW.

Proline content

Concentrations of proline were measured and the results were evaluated following the method of (Bates et al. 1973). In brief, 100 mg of frozen plant materials were homogenized in 1.5 ml of 3% sulphosalicylic acid and the residue was removed by centrifugation. Two ml glacial acetic acid and 2.0 ml acid ninhydrin reagent (1.25 g ninhydrin warmed in 30 ml glacial acetic acid and 20 ml 6 M phosphoric acid until dissolved) were added to 100 µl of the extract for one h at 100 °C and the reaction was then completed in an ice bath. One ml of toluene was added to the mixture, then warmed to room temperature and its optical density was measured at 520 nm. The amount of proline was calculated from proline standard curve in the range of $20-100 \ \mu g$. The results were expressed as mg/g of proline equivalent for the fresh weight of the samples.

Anatomical studies

Samples were fixed in a mixture of (10 ml formalin, 5 ml glacial acetic acid, 35 ml distilled H₂O, and 50 ml ethanol 95%) no less than 48 h. After that, the samples were rinsed in 50% ethanol followed by dehydrating in normal butanol series. After that, they are embedded in paraffin wax of melting point of 56 °C. Leica RM2125 microtome has been used to section them to a thickness of 20 microns. They were then stained twice with safranin and fast green, cleaned in xylene, and at last mounted in Canada balsam (Nassar and El-Sahhar 1998). Photomicrographs were taken after a microscopic examination of the slides.

DNA Extraction

Genomic DNA was isolated from the received fresh leaves (~100 mg) of each sample Using DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Santa Clarita, CA), genomic DNA was extracted from the obtained fresh leaves (about 100 mg) of each sample in accordance with the manufacturer's procedure. The concentrations of isolated DNA were measured by a Nanodrop 8000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.).

SCoT PCR and Data Analysis

The SCoT PCR amplification analysis was performed following Bhawna et al. (2017). A set of SCoT primers (Table 2) were screened against eight samples corresponding to each DNA sample and analyzed for molecular diversity. The PCR was carried out in a total reaction mixture of 25 µl comprising 1X PCR buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 µM of every dNTPs, 2.0 µM of primers, one unit TaKaRa TaqTM DNA polymerase (Takara Bio Inc.), and 50-100 ng of genomic DNA. The PCR programme was set at 94 °C for 5 min for preliminary denaturation, followed by 35 cycles (94 °C for 1 min, 50 °C for one minute, and 72 °C for 90 s), and a final elongation at 72 °C for seven minutes. The amplification PCR outcomes were electrophoresed on a 1.5 percent agarose gel comprising ethidium bromide in 1X TAE buffer. A 100 bp DNA ladder-plus was developed. The PCR

Primer name	Sequence
SCoT -13	ACGACATGGCGACCATCG
SCoT -14	ACGACATGGCGACCACGC
SCoT -24	CACCATGGCTACCACCAT
SCoT -33	CCATGGCTACCACCGCAG
SCoT -34	ACCATGGCTACCACCGCA
SCoT -61	CAACAATGGCTACCACCG
SCoT -66	ACCATGGCTACCAGCGAG
SCoT -70	ACCATGGCTACCAGCGCG
SCoT -71	CCATGGCTACCACCGCCG
SCoT -77	CCATGGCTACCACTACCC

 Table 2
 SCoT primers utilized in the PCR and their nucleotide sequences

samples were organized in ascending mode (sampleone to sample-eight) through the loading on the agarose gel. The PCR results were visualized in UV light and gel image analysis and phylogenetics were gained with the Python-based tool called PyElph.

Molecular analysis

GelAnalyser3 software was used to analyze the DNA banding patterns generated by each primer. Obvious amplicons were scored as present (1) or absent (0) in a binary matrix for each primer. From this matrix, resolving power (Rp) was calculated, according to Prevost and Wilkinson (1999), and Polymorphic Information Content (PIC) was determined as described by Gorji (2011) method. Also, DNA-profile was done in accordance with the method of (Adhikari et al. 2015) with color discrimination for eight types of amplicons that illustrated molecular alterations caused by gamma-rays combined with ZnO-NPs from spinach plants. As well, correspondence analysis (CA) was done using XLSTAT 2019.2.2 (Addinsoft) to visualize the relationships among treated and untreated plants which summarizes the genetic distance among each combination depending on molecular and phenotypic data (Lam 2014; Canon et al. 2001).

Statistic analysis

Results were stated as $mean \pm standard$ deviation and were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The mean values were compared at $(P \le 0.05)$ by Duncan's multiple (Duncan1955) to evaluate the significance between the treatments. Three replicates were utilized.

Results

Morphological traits

Nanoparticles (NPs) are organic or inorganic materials having sizes ranging from 1.0 to 100 nm; in recent years NPs have come into extensive use worldwide. Zinc is essential for crop nutrition as it is needed for a number of enzymatic reactions, metabolic functions, and oxidation–reduction reactions (Al Jabri et al. 2022).

Seeds of spinach plants tested by ZnO–NPs 50 and 100 ppm appeared significantly more likely to germinate with 90 and 92% respectively against 85% for control seeds. As well as the germination rate obtained from the irradiation dose 60 Gy was 90% as illustrated in Table 3.

The obtained results showed that the maximum plant length was observed for the treatment of 100 ppm ZnO-NPs (43.40 cm) followed by the treatment of 200 ppm ZnO-NPs (41.24 cm) as provided in (Table 3). The growth performances of the spinach plants in terms of leaf length showed that the greatest increase was found in the treatment 200 ppm ZnO-NPs (21.65 cm) followed by the treatment 60 Gy+50 ppm ZnO-NPs (19.53 cm) as shown in Table 3. The best enhancement for the number of leaves per plant was observed for the treatment with 200 ppm ZnO–NPs (Table 3). Meanwhile, there was a slight increase in the root length for all the ZnO–NPs, 60 Gy, and their combinations treatments, and it was at the maximum increase for the treatment of 60 Gy + 50 ppm ZnO-NPs (Table 3).

Photosynthetic pigments of spinach plants

Chlorophyll pigments have an important role in the photosynthesis process as they act as light-capturing centers. Changes in chlorophyll might adversely influence the plants growth. In addition, carotenoids, also essential plant pigments, have an important function

Treatments		Germinated seeds (%)	Plant length (cm)	Leaf length (cm)	Leaves No	Root length (cm)
ZnO-NPs (ppm)	0	85±2.65 ^b	30.65 ± 0.38 ^d	$16.75 \pm 0.49^{\text{ d}}$	12.67 ± 0.58 ef	$7.40 \pm 0.56^{\text{ f}}$
	50	90 ± 2.00^{a}	34.65 ± 0.43 ^c	18.95 ± 0.38 ^c	15.00 ± 1.00 ^{cd}	9.40 ± 0.62^{e}
	100	92 ± 1.73^{a}	43.40 ± 0.62^{a}	20.12 ± 0.56 ^b	20.33 ± 1.53 ^b	10.65 ± 0.44 ^{ab}
	200	85 ± 1.00^{b}	41.24 ± 1.47 ^{ab}	21.65 ± 0.44 ^a	23.00 ± 0.00^{a}	10.29 ± 0.50 bcd
60 Gy+ZnO-NPs (ppm)	0	90 ± 1.00^{a}	38.67±1.81 ^b	19.10 ± 0.56 ^c	19.33±0.58 ^b	10.37 ± 0.47 ^{abc}
	50	80 ± 0.00 ^c	40.10 ± 2.79 ^b	19.53 ± 0.82 bc	16.33 ± 0.58 ^c	11.17 ± 0.30^{a}
	100	$75 \pm 1.73^{\text{d}}$	30.37 ± 2.35 ^d	$14.37 \pm 0.51^{\text{e}}$	$14.00 \pm 0.00^{\text{de}}$	9.77 ± 0.25 ^{cde}
	200	70 ± 1.00^{e}	27.14±2.21 ^e	12.44 ± 0.35 f	11.67 ± 0.57 f	9.50 ± 0.11 de

Table 3 Morphological traits (germination percentage, plant length, leaves length, leaves number/plant and roots length) for spinach plants treated with ZnO-NPs at different concentrations and gamma irradiation or their combinations

Results are expressed as means \pm SD (n=3), and means by various letter inside the same column are significantly difference ($p \le 0.05$)

in the protection of plant against biotic and abiotic stressors (Simkin et al. 2022).

Proline content

The results obtained for chlorophyll determination are shown in Fig. 1. As seen from these results, gamma irradiation combined with 100 ppm ZnO–NPs gave the greatest increase (0.474 mg/g FW) compared to control sample (0.398 mg/g FW) for chlorophyll a. The same trend was observed for chlorophyll b, the maximum increase was obtained for the treatment of 60 Gy+100 ppm ZnO–NPs (0.644 mg/g FW) compared to the control (0.328 mg/g). Otherwise, the percentage of chlorophyll b increasing is higher than chlorophyll a. Meanwhile, carotenoids decreased due to increased concentrations of ZnO–NPs. Proline is an essential organic solute and has an essential vital role in cell osmoregulation under stress. Proline content increased by increasing ZnO–NPs concentration, as well as when ZnO–NPs were combined with gamma irradiation (60 Gy), proline content was more than in ZnO–NPs individually and reached the maximum increase (1.059 mg/g FW) in treatment (60 Gy+200 ppm ZnO–NPs) as outlined in Fig. 2.

Leaf anatomical studies of spinach treated with ZnO-NPs at different concentrations and gamma irradiation or their combinations

The anatomy of un-treated and treated leaves with gamma-rays (60 Gy), and ZnO-NPs at different







Fig. 2 Proline content for spinach plants treated with ZnO-NPs at different concentrations and gamma irradiation or their combination. Vertical bars \pm SD (n=3) and varying letters on the bars in every sample are significantly differed at ($p \le 0.05$)

concentrations or their combinations are provided in Fig. 3 and Table 3. The obtained results relived that epidermal tissue increased in both the upper and lower epidermis for the 60 Gy combined with ZnO–NPs 200 ppm treatment. While the sample 60 Gy combined with 100 ppm ZnO–NPs gave more thickness of the upper epidermis of spinach leaves. Regarding the mesophyll, the plaside tissue and sponge tissue showed the highest thickness with 100 and 200 ppm ZnO–NPs in un-irradiated and irradiated plants, respectively. A significant difference in the length and width of the bundles was also noted with increasing the concentration of ZnO–NPs in non-irradiated and irradiated plants (Table 4).

Molecular alterations assessment using SCoT molecular marker technique

In this regard, SCoT markers were used to assess molecular alterations in spinach plants induced by soaking seeds in ZnO-NPs at 0.0, 50, 100 as well as 200 ppm concentrations with or without the irradiation at 60 Gy γ -rays. Initially, we should know that the primers used in this study (Table 2) target amplify SCoT-markers that are expected to be connected to functional genes. These primers often target highly expressed genes except for SCoT-13 and 14 which usually target lowly expressed genes (Sawant et al. 1999). In this study, through gel electrophoresis banding patterns and DNA profiles generated by SCoT molecular marker technique (Figs. 4, 5), this technique succeeded in revealing different patterns of DNA polymorphism among treated and untreated (control) of spinach plants. Where the DNA profile (Fig. 5) revealed and discriminate molecular alterations among these plants. This refers to the occurrence of alterations at the molecular level among spinach plants by soaking seeds in ZnO-NPs with or without γ -irradiation. Where ten SCoT-primers succeeded in amplifying a total of 129 amplicons of which 123 were reproducible polymorphic amplicons (94.9%) with a range between 188 to 4657 bp as shown in Table 5. These amplicons ranged from 5 for primer SCoT-24 to 23 for primer SCoT-33 with 100.0% polymorphism for these two primers. The SCoT primers were successful in targeting 55 amplicons that could be considered markers related to specific effects of applied treatments in comparison to the untreated (Fig. 5). On the other hand, the findings pointed out that the SCoT-33 primer showed the premier Rp value was 12.00 with polymorphism % and a PIC that was 100% and 0.353 percent, respectively (Table 5). Also, this primer was successful in targeting the highest number of SCoT-markers, a total of 11 markers, of which 6 are positive (appeared by specific effects of treatments) and 5 are negative (missing by specific effects of treatments) as provided in Table 5. This indicates the high informative and discrimination capability of SCoT-33 primer in the detection of reliable markers in spinach plants.

Findings in Fig. 5 and Table 6 showed molecular markers targeted by SCoT primers in this study that refer to genetic alterations caused by soaking seeds in ZnO-NPs different concentrations with or without irradiation. A total of 55 these markers, with different molecular sizes, 31 of them are positive (caused by a specific effect) and 24 are negative (missing by a specific effect). Some of these markers (UZ) indicate mutations induced by soaking seeds in one concentration of ZnO–NPs without γ -irradiation (a total of 12; 9 of them positive and 3 negative). While some other markers $(U\gamma)$ refer to mutations caused by γ -irradiation without soaking seeds in ZnO-NPs (a total of 5; 4 of them positive and one negative). Moreover, soaking seeds in one concentration of $ZnO-NPs + \gamma$ -irradiation caused the largest number of markers (UZ γ) a total of 24 markers (14 of them positive and 10 negatives). With higher specificity, soaking seeds in ZnO-NPs at 50 and 200 ppm with and without γ -irradiation caused appearing a specific marker for each treatment with molecular sizes 1026 and 2693 bp, respectivly. However, soaking seeds in ZnO-NPs at 50 and 100 ppm with and without Fig. 3 Leaf anatomy changings for spinach plant treated with ZnO–NPs different concentrations and gamma irradiation or their combinations



60 Gy + 100 ppm ZnO-NPS



 γ -irradiation caused the loss of one amplicon (negative unique marker) for each treatments with molecular sizes of 267 and 867 bp, respictively. In addition, the soaking seeds treatments in ZnO–NPs, regardless of irradiation caused missing 5 amplicons, while γ -irradiation regardless treatments of soaking seeds in ZnO–NPs caused missing 2 amplicons. Whatever ZnO–NPs concentrations, the combination of soaking and irradiation caused missing one amplicon with molecular size of 513 bp to be targeted by the SCoT-77 primer.

🙆 Springer

Commonly, to assess the variations caused by each treatment compared to the control, Correspondence Analyses (CA) was used to clarify the inertia or dispersion caused by the applied treatments (Fig. 6), as well as the polymorphism % for each treatment, compared with the control was computed (Table 7 and Fig. 7). Correspondence analyses were applied using the molecular and phenotypic data as well as their combination (Fig. 6a, b, and c, respectively). Where the molecular data were presented as a scatterplot (Fig. 6a) based on the first two axes (F1 and F2) which give the maximum input of inertia in the

Treatment		Epidermi	S	Mesophyll tissue		Stele (vasc eter)	ular diam-
		Upper	Lower	Palisade thickness	Spongy thickness	Length	Width
ZnO-NPs (ppm)	0	20.800	19.200	164.111	271.680	313.604	485.317
	50	27.200	25.650	161.037	249.69	293.150 215.763	414.598 212.559
	100	17.233	20.490	229.225	236.859	210.185 219.690 219.672	165.172 296.104 250.465
	200	37.352	24.423	203.219	332.217	282.634 261.936	319.552 210.447
60 Gy+ZnO-NPs (ppm)	0	22.228	20.861	135.816	224.127	285.648	479.429
	50	25.799	18.243	177.477	282.862	161.727 311.733 161.980	160.798 480.045 176.675
	100	34.016	15.839	126.106	205.407	224.554	195.305
	200	27.341	23.786	220.092	533.511	151.722 239.300 233.622	152.412 287.021 260.176

 Table 4
 Leaf anatomy (epidermis, mesophyll tissue and vascular diameter) for spinach plants treated with ZnO-NPs at different concentrations and gamma irradiation or their combinations



Fig. 4 Banding patterns for SCoT-14, SCoT34 and SCoT-70 for examples of SCoT PCR -products. L, DNA ladder (100:1500 bp) and lanes from 2 to 9 represent the different treatments; lane 2: control, lane 3: 50 ppm ZnO-NPS,

data matrix in a manner that can be regarded equivalent to the total variation in allelic occurrence (Benzecri 1992). The F1 as well as F2 axes, accounted for 34.93% and 13.55% of the variation, respectively; thus, 44% of the variance was accounted for the first 2 axes. Meanwhile, the treatments were partitioned into 3 groups distinctive by circles in agreement with the cluster analysis which was distributed in a range

lane 4: 100 ppm ZnO–NPS, lane 5: 200 ZnO-NPS, lane 6: 60 Gy + 0.0 ppm ZnO-NPS, lane 7: 60 Gy + 50 ppm ZnO-NPS and lane 8:: 60 Gy + 100 ppm ZnO-NPS, lane 9: 60 Gy + 200 ppm ZnO-NPs

of molecular distances from 0.315 to 0.640 (data not shown). The first group CA1 (surrounded by red) included control and treatments except for 100 ppm ZnO–NPs and 100 ppm ZnO–NPs + γ -rays which were partitioned into two independent groups CA2 and CA3 (surrounded by blue and green respectively). The scatterplot obtained from the molecular data can compare with another scatterplot that was computed

tments	SCoT-13	SCoT-14 SCo	oT-24 SC	CoT-33	SCoT-34	SCoT-61	SCoT-66	ScoT-70	SCoT-71	SCoT-77	ТА
Treat	CIVI 25152 25152 25152 25152 25152 25152 25152 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 25155 25155 25155 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 2515 25155 2515 25155 2515025 25155 2515 251	1736 11359 11294 11294 12007 1202 867 863 863 863 863 863 863 814 4234 4234 4234 835 2350 2350 2350 2350 2350 2350 2350 23	630 477 477 4657 4657 2603 2594 2003 2374 2594 2374 2043 2374 2043 2374 2043 2374 2043 2148 2374 2043 2148 2374 2043 2148 2374 2043 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 2148 217 217 2148 217 217 217 217 217 217 217 217 217 217	1417 1240 1240 947 947 741 546 546 575 575 546 546 546 575 575 575 575 575 575 575 575 575 57	683 592 873 873 873 873 873 873 873 873 873 873	23151 2108 1983 1983 1922 1922 1922 1925 875 875 861 861 861 861 861 861 861 861 865	2855 2350 2350 2350 1488 1362 1230 1230 903 903 903 903 903 719 616 616 616 616 616 872 872 872 811 835	2410 22069 1822 1881 1881 1882 1882 979 979 979 976 976 707 707 558 8467 467	3612 2713 2713 2713 1650 1650 1115 911 776 621 776 621 621 726 726 267	3170 2209 1929 1929 1422 1422 1290 1101 889 889 889 813 813 813	129
	[,] <mark> </mark>	II <u>I</u> III III				1 111 1 1	I IIII	11 I III <mark>I</mark> II	11 1	1 1111	70
- ppm	⁸ <mark> </mark>	11 111		I III <mark>I</mark> I I <mark>I</mark>	1.1111	1 1 11	I I I	1	<u> </u>	1 11 11	49
dN Ouz	§		11 11 1 1 111		ш	1	1 111				61
					1 1 1		I _ I		11 I <mark>I</mark> I		62
(AD 09)	5 <mark> </mark>		1 1 1 111	1 1111 <mark>1</mark> 1 1			I <mark>I</mark> – I III	11	I I <mark>I</mark> II		63
1.+ (md	₹ <mark> </mark>		U U U		1 1 11		I I <mark>I</mark> I I	1 1111 I <mark>1</mark>	II I <mark>I</mark> II		58
NPs (p			1 1 11	1 11111	111		1 1111	III I I I I I	1 111111	II I <mark>I</mark> III I	62
ZnO			1 111	1 11111 1	II I II <mark>I</mark>		I <mark>I</mark> I I		III		50
	Monomorphic amplicon	Unique negative amplicon	ue amplicon appear in the same	ZnO NPs treatment with or wi	ithout the irradia	ation Unique amplico	n absent in the same ZnO NPs trea	atment with or without the ir	radiation Unique nega	tive amplicon	
	Negat	ve amplicon absent by ZnO NPs treatmen	nts regardless of irradiation effe	ect Negative amplicon	absent by irradiat	ation treatment regardless o	of ZnO NPs effect Negative ar	mplicon absent by ZnO NPs tr	eatments with irradiation.		

Fig. 5 DNA-profile representation of SCoT Banding patterns based on 129 amplicons 6 of them were monomorphic (brown) and 55 of them were markers caused by the specific effects

of soaking seeds in ZnO-NPs with or without γ irradiation in spinach plants. (in other various colors)

Table 5 Molecular results evaluated from banding patterns of SCoT molecular markers procedure

Primers	Molecular size range bp	ТА	PA	Poly%	PIC	Rp
SCoT-13	188 : 2352	13	12	92.31	0.356	7.00
SCoT-14	250:1736	16	15	93.75	0.309	7.00
SCoT-24	358 : 1862	5	5	100.0	0.425	3.50
SCoT-33	259:4657	23	23	100.0	0.353	12.00
SCoT-34	333:705	7	6	85.71	0.254	2.25
SCoT-61	455 : 2693	13	12	92.31	0.341	6.50
SCoT-66	353 : 2855	15	15	100.0	0.363	8.00
SCoT-70	406:2410	13	12	92.31	0.385	8.00
SCoT-71	267:3612	13	12	92.31	0.291	5.25
SCoT-77	450:3170	11	11	100.0	0.335	5.50
All in one	188 : 4657	129	123			
	Average	12.9	12.3	94.9	12.3	6.50

TA: Total amplicons; Poly. %: Polymorphism percent.; PIC: Polymorphic Information Content; Rp: Resolving power; PA: Polymorphic Amplicon; A (-): Amplicons, appear in control and absent in one or more of the treatments; A (+): Amplicons, absent in control and appear in one or more of the treatments; PA (-): Polymorphic A (-); UA (-): Unique negative amplicon, absent in one treatment; PA (+): Polymorphic A (+); UA (+): Unique positive amplicon appear in one treatment

depending on morphological data (Fig. 6b) which was represented by two axes with 88.63% total contribution in variation. Where also harmonious with the cluster analysis which distributes in a range of phenotypic distances from 5.3 to 29.7 (data not shown). By comparing the two analyzes, it is clear that the treatments are distributed at the molecular scatterplot by a greater variation than their distribution at the phenotypic scatterplot. This led to the combined scatterplot (Fig. 6c) appearing with a distribution similar to that of the molecular scatterplot with total contribution rates of 44.97% for the two axes. Thus indicating that the treatments led to alterations at the molecular level that were different from alterations at the phenotypic level.

Therefore, polymorphism percentage induced by treatments (PIT %) applied in this study was calculated to detect relative DNA variations in treated spinach plants compared to control. Depending on the appearance or disappearance (missing) of amplicons between each treatment and control. From Table 7 and Fig. 7 it is clear that the polymorphisms differed for each treatment from one primer to another and for each primer from one treatment to another. Where the soaking in 100 ppm ZnO–NPs+ γ -rays was the highest in inducing PIT % (65.3%) through missing of 36

Table 6	SCol-marken	s caused by the spec	cific effects of sc	aking set	ods in ZnC	-NPS with or wi	thout y-irradiatic	on in spina	ch plants						
Primers	SCoT-marke	rs (bp)											Total		
	UC	UZ		Uγ		UZγ		SAZ		NA					
	+	+		+		+		+		Z-	λ-	λZ-	' +	all	I
SCoT-13	,	-			,	1	3 (622, 450, 232)	1			,		1	ю Ю	
SCoT-14		1	ı	1 (424)	ı	4 (1736, 1359, 1294, 516)	2 (925,250)	ı	1 (867)	1 (603)	·	ı	ŝ	4	-
SCoT-24			ı	ı	ı	I	1 (1862)	ı	ı		1 (477)	,	1	2	
SCoT-33		- 4 (4657,3059, 2043, 330)	2 (1681,640)	1 (641)	I	1 (259)	1 (741)	ı	ı	1 (2803)	1 (1417)	I	9	5 11	
SCoT-34	ı		1 (379)	ı	1 (333)	ı	1 (633)	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	1	Э	_
SCoT-61		- 2 (2103,455)	ı	1 (808)	ı		ı	1 (2693)	ı	2 (2351,875)	1	,	4	2	
SCoT-66	2 (903,987)	- 1 (2350)		1 (1362)	ı	1 (1488)		1 (1026)	ı	ı	ı	ı	9	- 6	
SCoT-70					ı	1 (2410)	ı	ı	ı	1 (467)	ı	ı	-	1	
SCoT-71		1	ı	ı	ı	6 (3612,1650, 1115, 1030, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 931, 781, 781, 781, 781, 781, 781, 781, 78	ı	I	1 (267)		ı		9	1 7	
SCoT-77		- 2 (1929,1641)				706) 1 (1290)	2 (1422.889)					1 (513)	ς. Ω	9 3	
Total	2	0 9	3	4	1	14	10	2	7	5	2	1	31 2	4 55	
UC(+): 1 con miss $UZ\gamma (+)$ amplicon γ irradiati	Jinque amplic ingby one Zn : Unique ampl appear in the on.; NA (-Z): O-NPs effect;	on appear in contro O-NPs treatment.; licon caused by onc s same ZnO-NPs tro Negative amplicon NA (-Zy) Negative	al.: UC($-$): Unique U γ (+): Unique e ZnO-NP s+ γ eatment with or missing by ZnO \Rightarrow amplicon missi	ue amplic e amplicc irradiatio without 1 -NPs trea ng by Zn	con disapt on caused ntreatmen the y-irrac utments re O-NPs tre	t: UZ γ (-): Uniq by one γ -irradia t: UZ γ (-): Uniq liation.; SAZT (-) gardless of γ -irra- atments with γ -ir	UZ(+): Unique tution treatment.: que amplicon mi -): Specific amp diation effect. N rradiation	amplicon c $U\gamma$ (–): U ssing by o dicon miss A (- γ) Neg	caused by Jnique an ne ZnO-N ing by the gative amp	one ZnO-NPs pplicon missi IPs+y irradia e same ZnO-l licon missing	streatment. ng byone γ tiontreatm VPs treatm by γ-irrad	: UZ(–): U <i>y</i> -irradiatio ent.; SAZ ent with o iation treat	Jnique in treat (+): S r without treent	ampl tment pecifi out th egard	



Fig. 6 Correspondence analyses (CA) plot for treated and untreated spinach plants depending on binary data of SCoT molecular marker technique **a**, phenotypic traits **b** and their

combination **c**, where the first two axes F1 and F2 contribute a total 48.48%, 88.6 3% and 44.97% of the inertia or dispersion for each analysis respectively

amplicons and the appearance of 28 new amplicons compared to control, 18 of them may be connected to lowly expressed genes and the rest amplicons may be associated with the highly expressed genes (De Vicente et al. 2004). Whereas the soaking in 200 ppm ZnO-NPs without irradiation was the lowest in PIT % (48.3%) through missing of 25 amplicons and the appearance of 17 new amplicon compared to control, 7 of them may be connected to lowly expressed genes and the rest amplicons may be associated with the highly expressed genes. On the other hand, the SCoT-33 primer targeted the largest total number of missing and new amplicons which were caused by applied treatments compared to the control (21 and 39 amplicons, respectively). While, the SCoT-33 primer targeted the fewest total number of missing and new amplicons (2 and 11 amplicons, respectively). These two primers target amplify SCoT-markers that are expected to be connected to highly expressed genes (Sawant et al. 1999). Whereas, the two primers which usually target lowly expressed genes (SCoT-13, 14) targeted a total number of MA and NA of 10, 17 for SCoT-13 and 15,19 for SCoT-14, respectively. Where the percentage of what was targeted by these two primers was 18.2% of the total MA and NA versus 81.8% targeted by another primers that are expected to be targeted to highly expressed genes.

Biometals

Image Ima Image Image	Treat-	ZnO-	NPs (-	udd (-										ZnO	-NPs (– ppm) + γ (60	Gy)												All	
etc A NA MA PTT% CA NA PTT% <th< th=""><th>ment Prim-</th><th>50</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>100</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>200</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>+0</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>50+</th><th>۲</th><th></th><th></th><th>100-</th><th>Ļλ</th><th></th><th></th><th>200-</th><th>7</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	ment Prim-	50				100				200				+0				50+	۲			100-	Ļλ			200-	7				
Scort63500635006350061340082127.3900002171130457.15244462133.351237.532400173Scort3024003024003024001102400110240011024001102400110240011024001102402112402110211021122Scort30111011024002111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111111 </th <th>ers</th> <th>CA</th> <th>NA</th> <th>МА</th> <th>PIT%</th> <th>CA</th> <th>NA</th> <th>MA</th> <th>PIT%</th> <th>CA</th> <th>NA</th> <th>MA</th> <th>%TI4</th> <th>CA</th> <th>NA</th> <th>MA</th> <th>PIT%</th> <th>CA</th> <th>NA</th> <th>MA</th> <th>PIT%</th> <th>CA</th> <th>NA</th> <th>MA</th> <th>PIT%</th> <th>CA</th> <th>NA</th> <th>МА</th> <th>PIT%</th> <th>NA</th> <th>MA</th>	ers	CA	NA	МА	PIT%	CA	NA	MA	PIT%	CA	NA	MA	%TI4	CA	NA	MA	PIT%	CA	NA	MA	PIT%	CA	NA	MA	PIT%	CA	NA	МА	PIT%	NA	MA
Scort 3 0 4 57.1 5 2 4.4 6 2 1 33.3 5 1 2 37.5 3 2 4 66.7 4 7 3 3 24 3 0 2 400 3 0 2 400 3 0 2 400 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 6 3 4 4 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 </td <td>SCoT- 13</td> <td>9</td> <td>б</td> <td>б</td> <td>50.0</td> <td>9</td> <td>ŝ</td> <td>ю</td> <td>50.0</td> <td>9</td> <td>-</td> <td>б</td> <td>40.0</td> <td>∞</td> <td>7</td> <td>-</td> <td>27.3</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>7</td> <td>-</td> <td>7</td> <td>80.0</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>10</td> <td>17</td>	SCoT- 13	9	б	б	50.0	9	ŝ	ю	50.0	9	-	б	40.0	∞	7	-	27.3	6	0	0	0.0	7	-	7	80.0	6	0	0	0.0	10	17
Stort 3 0 2 400 3 0 2 400 3 0 2 400 1 0 2 400 1 0 2 400 1 0 2 400 1 0 2 400 1 0 2 400 1 0 2 400 1 0 2 400 1 1 0 2 400 1 1 0 2 400 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 4 0 2 33.2 2 1 1 2 33.2 3 1 3 57.1 5 0 1 1 1 2 3 1 4 00 3 1 4 0 2 3 1 4 0 1 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 1	SCoT- 14	б	0	4	57.1	S	6	7	44.4	9	6	-	33.3	5	-	7	37.5	$\tilde{\omega}$	6	4	66.7	4	٢	3	71.4	4	-	$\tilde{\omega}$	50.0	15	19
Scor- 5 2 8 667 7 5 6 61.1 9 5 4 4.3.8 4 4.3.8 4 6.7 7.6.5 9 1 4 7 5 0 1 16.7 6 61.1 9 5 1 3 57.1 5 0 1 16.7 4 0 2 33.3 3 1 3 57.1 5 0 1 16.7 4 0 2 Scor- 1 1 1 6 87.5 1 1 4 83.3 1 5 4 9 76.5 3 1 4 Scor- 1 2 41.7 5 2 4 83.3 1 5 4 6 3 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 <th< td=""><td>SCoT- 24</td><td>б</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>40.0</td><td>б</td><td>0</td><td>6</td><td>40.0</td><td>б</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>40.0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>б</td><td>60.09</td><td>$\tilde{\omega}$</td><td>0</td><td>6</td><td>40.0</td><td>-</td><td>0</td><td>4</td><td>80.0</td><td>7</td><td>0</td><td>б</td><td>60.0</td><td>0</td><td>18</td></th<>	SCoT- 24	б	0	7	40.0	б	0	6	40.0	б	0	7	40.0	0	0	б	60.09	$\tilde{\omega}$	0	6	40.0	-	0	4	80.0	7	0	б	60.0	0	18
Stort 5 0 1 16.7 6 0 0 0 2 33.3 3 1 3 57.1 5 0 1 16.7 4 0 2 34 1 2 4 5 81.8 6 3 1 40 3 1 46 7 4 0 7 Scort 1 2 4 87.5 1 1 4 83.3 1 400 3 1 4 65 7 4 7 7 Scort 1 2 4 87.5 1 1 4 83.3 1 5 4 900 1 4 8 7 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	SCoT- 33	5	0	×	66.7	Г	5	9	61.1	6	S	4	50.0	6	ю	4	43.8	4	4	6	76.5	6	1	4	35.7	6	1	4	35.7	21	39
SCOT- 3 2 4 66.7 1 1 6 87.5 2 4 5 81.8 6 3 1 4 62.5 3 1 4 61 1 2 4 85.7 1 3 4 87.5 1 4 83.3 1 5 4 90.0 1 4 88.9 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	SCoT- 34	5	0	-	16.7	9	0	0	0.0	4	0	7	33.3	ю	1	3	57.1	5	0	-	16.7	4	0	7	33.3	4	1	7	42.9	7	11
Scor- 1 2 4 85.7 1 3 4 87.5 1 1 4 83.3 1 5 4 90.0 1 4 4 88.9 1 4 4 4 66 Scor- 2 0 7 77.8 7 3 2 41.7 5 2 4 5 2 4 5 5 2 4 70 7 7 77.8 5 2 4 5 5 4 5 2 4 70 1 0 42.9 1 0 20.0 3 2 1 5 2 4 5 2 4 5 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	SCoT- 61	ю	0	4	66.7	-	-	9	87.5	0	4	5	81.8	9	З	-	40.0	З	-	4	62.5	б	1	4	62.5	0	ю	5	80.0	15	29
Scor- 70 2 0 7 77.8 7 77.8 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 54.5 5 2 4 5 2 0 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 <t< td=""><td>SCoT- 66</td><td>-</td><td>7</td><td>4</td><td>85.7</td><td></td><td>б</td><td>4</td><td>87.5</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>4</td><td>83.3</td><td>-</td><td>5</td><td>4</td><td>90.06</td><td>-</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>88.9</td><td>-</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>88.9</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td>б</td><td>71.4</td><td>21</td><td>27</td></t<>	SCoT- 66	-	7	4	85.7		б	4	87.5	-		4	83.3	-	5	4	90.06	-	4	4	88.9	-	4	4	88.9	7	7	б	71.4	21	27
Scort- 4 3 0 42.9 1 0 3 75.0 4 1 0 20.0 3 2 1 50.0 4 2 0 33.3 1 8 3 71 1 1 33.3 4 3 1 50.0 5 1 0 16.7 5 2 0 28.6 4 2 1 42.9 4 1 1 77 71 36 13 34 56.6 41 20 28.4 45 17 25 48.3 44 19 26 50.6 41 17 29 52.9 34 28 36 36 34 28 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 <t< td=""><td>SCoT- 70</td><td>7</td><td>0</td><td>٢</td><td>77.8</td><td>٢</td><td>3</td><td>7</td><td>41.7</td><td>5</td><td>7</td><td>4</td><td>54.5</td><td>7</td><td>0</td><td>٢</td><td>77.8</td><td>5</td><td>7</td><td>4</td><td>54.5</td><td>5</td><td>7</td><td>4</td><td>54.5</td><td>3</td><td>1</td><td>9</td><td>70.0</td><td>10</td><td>34</td></t<>	SCoT- 70	7	0	٢	77.8	٢	3	7	41.7	5	7	4	54.5	7	0	٢	77.8	5	7	4	54.5	5	7	4	54.5	3	1	9	70.0	10	34
SCoT- 4 1 1 33.3 4 3 1 50.0 5 1 0 16.7 5 2 0 28.6 4 2 1 42.9 4 4 1 77 71 36 13 34 56.6 41 20 29 54.4 45 17 25 48.3 44 19 26 50.6 41 17 29 52.9 34 28 36 one one	SCoT- 71	4	3	0	42.9	-	0	3	75.0	4	-	0	20.0	3	7	-	50.0	4	7	0	33.3	-	8	3	91.7	4	7	0	33.3	18	٢
Allin 36 13 34 56.6 41 20 29 54.4 45 17 25 48.3 44 19 26 50.6 41 17 29 52.9 34 28 36 one	SCoT- 77	4	1	1	33.3	4	3	1	50.0	5	-	0	16.7	5	2	0	28.6	4	7	1	42.9	4	4	-	55.6	0	0	5	100.0	13	6
	All in one	36	13	34	56.6	41	20	29	54.4	45	17	25	48.3	44	19	26	50.6	41	17	29	52.9	34	28	36	65.3	39	Π	31	51.9		

 Table 7
 Polymorphism for SCoT-amplicons among treatments and control



Fig. 7 Polymorphism % induced by treatments (PIT %) detected by SCoT molecular marker technique in spinach plants

In general, from Fig. 7, it is illustrated that the soaking treatments in ZnO–NPs led to a decrease in PIT % (indicates a decrease in molecular alteration rate caused by each treatment) with increasing ZnO–NPs concentration. Where the lowest value of PIT % was when soaking in 200 ppm (48.3%). In contrast, irradiation led to an increase in the PIT % with increasing ZnO–NPs concentrations upto 100 ppm (65.3%). whilst, the effect of soaking at 200 ppm was beneficial in decreasing the value of PIT % (to 51.9%), indicating that the soaking in ZnO–NPs was helpful in reducing molecular alteration rate, both spontaneous and induced by gamma irradiation.

Finally, it becomes clear that SCoT markers were effective in analyzing induced molecular alterations by treatments applied in this study.

On the other hand and overall, SCoT analysis results demonstrate that soaking seeds in ZnO–NPs with or without γ -irradiation altered the genome of treated spinach plants. Where many amplicons disappeared and new amplicons appeared in all ZnO–NPs concentrations compared to the control samples. Gamma irradiation increased from alteration rates until they reached the highest level at the concentration of ZnO–NPs 100 ppm. Nevertheless, increasing the concentrations of ZnO–NPs to 200 ppm with or without γ -irradiation had an effective action in reducing the molecular alteration rate and genomic template stability.

Discussions

Due to the large surface area and small size, NPs are served as nano fertilizers with increased diffusions rate. They have greater dissolve capacities, which enable earlier nutrient availability to roots and, as a result, increases crops yield (Dey et al. 2018). Results of the recent study (Table 3) displayed that seeds priming by ZnO-NPs could enhance spinach development, under gamma irradiation stress. Previous studies confirmed useful evidence to support the beneficial effects of nano-sized zinc to plants growth such as corn (Zea mays) (Neto et al. 2020), cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) (Singh et al. 2021) and chickpea (Cicer arietinum) (Burman et al. 2013). Also, zinc is identified to be engaged in managing enzyme, proteins synthesis, cells elongation, modifiable membranes role, and improvement of plant resistance to environmental challenges (Cakmak 2008).

The low doses of gamma radiation showed improvement in roost and shoots length in mung bean (Vigna radiate) and pea (Pisum sativum) compared to the control (Atteh and Adeyeye 2022). In addition, gamma-rays showed high germination percentage and stimulate vegetative traits as plant height, leave numbers/plant, roots length and roots diameter (El-Beltagi et al. 2022). The same trend was found in red radish (Raphanus sativus-Red) blackberry (Rubus fruticosus), cowpea (Vigna unguiculata) and culantro (Eryngium foetidum) which were exposed to low doses of gamma-rays, respectively (Aly et al. 2023a, 2022; El-Beltagi et al. 2013; Aly 2010). The impacts of gamma-rays on germination might be attributed to the establishment of RNA or proteins synthesis (Abdel-Hady et al. 2008).

Nanoparticles applied to the broccoli led to seeds germination, roots length, shoots length, seedling weight leave numbers, plants height, and leaf area. Also, provided that ZnO-NPs when utilized to increase quantities did not produce any poisonous impact on plant and can be used as nano-fertilizer on a commercial basis (Awan et al. 2020). Zinc oxide nanoparticles significantly improved growth and photosynthetic effectiveness, and activate the antioxidant systems in tomato seedling (Faizan et al. 2018). Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) plants tested by ZnO–NPs established a considerable enhancement in biomass, shoots and roots length as well as roots area and this improvement was raised by rising of the ZO-NPs concentration (Singh et al. 2021). There was a correlation between nutrients content, growth parameters and changing in nanoparticles concentrations. As it was obvious that some nanoparticles were more efficient with low concentrations while others gave positive effects at high concentrations. Furthermore, spray application of zinc oxide and titanium dioxide nanoparticles have a prospective effect for improving growth traits, photosynthetic effectiveness, and biochemical features of faba bean (*Vicia faba*) and broccoli (*Brassica oleracea*) plants respectively (Ragab et al. 2022; Aly et al. 2021b).

It was observed that ZnO–NPs treatments produced higher photosynthetic pigments in the current study (Fig. 1) and this is in accordance with the findings reported in the literature (Singh et al. 2021; Ragab et al. 2022; Ramegowda et al. 2013).

Previous study illustrated that treating lupine (Lupinus) seeds by ZNPs increased the chlorophylls and another photosynthetic pigments in plants, and that is attributed to the role of zinc as a crucial nutrient of plants. As zinc plays an essential role on plant metabolism by influencing the activities of vital enzymes like carbonic anhydrase. This enzyme is a metalloenzyme that increases the availability of carbondioxide for plants (Latef et al. 2017). At the concentration of 200 as well as 300 mg/l nanozinc, decreased chlorophylls value by 50%; while, the carotenoids concentration did not change in the Arabidopsis plants (Wang et al. 2018). Moreover, the nano-zinc plays a key role in photosynthesis, affecting the action of enzymes like carbonic anhydrase and that is owing to its small size which affects its absorption so it influences chlorophyll concentrations and stomatal conductance (Ramegowda et al. 2013). On the other hand, ZnO-NPs decrease chlorophylls biosynthetic and the effectiveness of photosynthetic in Arabidopsis and common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris), respectively (Priester et al. 2017; Raskar and Laware 2014). A controlled supply of zinc to plants can increase chlorophyll content, but a long-term supply can lead to toxicity and consequent decreases in plant chlorophyll content (Ahmed et al. 2009).

Plants accumulate proline and glycine betaine contents to attenuate the stressful regime by gaining growth and mediate metabolism. These processes are multifaceted and involve redox balance, osmoprotectant, and impediment of free radicals buildup, among other mechanism (Zeeshan et al. 2021). Current evidence revealed that both of gamma irradiation and ZnO-NPs enhanced the accumulation of proline compared to control (Fig. 2). It was confirmed that when soybean plants treated with ZnO-NPs displayed a higher accumulation of proline compared to soybean control plants (Zeeshan et al. 2021). Also, suggested that zinc and selenium oxide nanoparticles may be a solution to ameliorate arsenic toxicity in agricultural soil and crop plants. Proline is a signaling molecule essential for recovering plants from environment stress due to the heightened expression of the GmP5CS gene. Similarly, increased proline and glycine in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) upon mercury stress (Ahmad et al. 2018). Other heavy metals like cadmium in wheat (Triticum aestivum) (Rizwan et al. 2019), nickel in soybean (Glycine max) (Sirhindi et al. 2016), and chromium in chickpea (Cicer arietinum) elevated proline content as well (Singh et al. 2020). The combination of ZnO–NPs and/or Se-NPs improved the proline and glycine betaine contents in sesban (Sesbania sesban) as-stressed tissue and improved the expression of GmP5CS. Furthermore, it is well-known that proline and GB keep RUBISCO's carboxylation efficiency high under stress, eradicating oxidative damage and enhancing photosynthetic efficiency (Sivakumar et al. 2000). As well as gammarays promoted proline content in plants as previously established by several studies (Aly et al. 2023b; Aly et al. 2018; El-Beltagi et al. 2013).

The leaves anatomy of spinach is affected by gamma-ray (60 Gy) and ZnO-NPs used different concentration as provided in the current study (Table 4 and Fig. 3). High rate of gamma irradiation dose levels; 75, 90, as well as 105 Gy, generates bigger palisades, sponge, and upper epidermis than the control plants, respectively (Rosmala et al. 2016). A correlation among increased radiation rates and changes in leaves anatomy and phytochemical concentration of handeuleum was also shown. It was indicated that phloem and xylem of the vascular system were both expanded and differentiated and included more fibers having thickened cell walls (Tirani et al. 2019). In addition, epidermal cells were smaller and less rounded than in the control samples (Rajput et al. 2021). The parenchyma was generally denser than in the control sample, but local large areas of the intercellular space were encountered in the barely grown in 2000 mg/l ZnO-NPs (Vafaie Moghadam et al. 2022). These changes were greater at higher doses of ZnO and were greater in nano-treated samples compared bulk supplied ones. A suitable concentration of ZnO–NPs promoted the contents of vital nutrients in *Datura Stramonium* (Babajani et al. 2019) and *Melissa officinalis* NPs (Vafaie Moghadam, et al. 2022).

The SCoT markers were performed to evaluate molecular alterations in spinach plants induced by soaking seeds in ZnO–NPs at 0.0, 50, 100, and 200 ppm with or without gamma irradiation at 60 Gy. These markers are multilocus sequences useful in realizing high genetic polymorphism and generating amplicons that can be translated to gene target-specific marker systems (Xiong et al. 2011). The recent invistigation established the banding patterns and DNA profiles generated by SCoT molecular marker technique (Figs. 4, 5 as well as Table 6),

Genomic DNA damage is associated with genetic variations which lead to the modification of the binding site and changes in PCR product patterns (EL-shaer and Ibrahim 2021). Therefore, the appearance or disappearance of amplicons could be attributed to molecular alterations or mutations in binding sites. The presence of variants (polymorphism) in a sample may be assessed by the difference in its genotypes, alleles, haplotypes, or nucleotides (De Vicente et al. 2004).

The above-obtained results suggested that the SCoT marker can be used to effectively detect the molecular alterations among treated spinach plants. These results are in harmony with previously obtained in *Mangiferea indica* (Luo et al. 2010), in *Arachis hypogaea* (Xiong et al. 2011), in Echinacea (Jedrzejczyk 2020), in *Vitis uinifera* (Ahmed et al. 2021), in Lamiaceae species (Ahmed et al. 2022).

The SCoT molecular marker technique is effective in assessing genetic variations between control and mutants caused by gamma irradiation-induced alterations at the molecular, and phenotypic levels. It was found that in cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) the presence and loss of amplicons in the mutant genotypes compared to their untreated type proved the influence of gamma-rays on phenotypic and genotypic changeability (Vanmathi et al. 2022).

Altereds in DNA patterns as a result of ZnO–NPs applications may be induced by direct and/or indirect effects of NPs causing genotoxicity. Several studies demonstrated that ZnO–NPs indirectly cause genotoxicity through their reaction with mitochondria

by promoting intracellulars levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Where the production of ROS in turn induces indirect DNA damage. While the direct effect appeared as NPs pass through the nuclear pore and their interact with DNA, centromere, centioles, and histone proteins through direct chemical or physical interaction (Mehrian and De Lima 2016). The production of reactive oxygen species by the plant as influenced by nanoparticles stress can lead to DNA damage and proteins oxidation with several impacts which can result in DNA alterations and thereby, it affects genetic stability (Plaksenkova et al. 2020). Besides that γ -rays is used to disinfect agriculture product for increasing shelf-life (Al-Harbi et al. 2019). Where, γ -rays induced different types of of DNA damge due to their interaction with cellular DNA directly by deposition of energy in cells and/or indirectly generating free radicals like ROS species. This DNA damage include single and double DNA strands break, base-pair deletion or insertion of basepairs break, and DNA cross-linking that attrebuted to critical DNA damage and chromosome breaks (Nicol and Willey 2018). Add to that, the spray application of bio-derived zinc nanoparticles confidently manipulate the transcriptome and proteins profile resulting in promoting plants growth and developments (Sohail et al. 2022).

However, the results showed that the soaking in ZnO-NPs helped reduce molecular alteration rate, both spontaneous and induced by gamma irradiation. A major goal of crops improving programs is to induce differences and detect the variant at the phenotypic and genotypic rates in crops plant. So, the analysis of SCoT marker in conjunction mutagenesis will help create new spinach cultivars that perform better in terms of economic features.

Therefore, additional researches are required to demonstrate the role of Zn-ONPs as the prospective nano-protecting agent to reduce the genetic damages caused by radiation.

Conclusion

The using of ZnO–NPs as nano-fertilizer in combination with gamma-rays for spinach plant has never been reported before. The results of the current study suggest that ZnO–NPs can be utilized as nano-fertilizers alone or in combination with gamma irradiation (60 Gy) to promote the growth of spinach plant. According to the study's findings, ZnO-NPs are effective nano-fertilizers that have a good influence on the morphological and physiological traits of spinach plant., It was found that the germination percentage enhanced to 92% and by 100 ppm ZnO-NPs while the plant length, chlorophylls and carotenoids content recorded the greatest values by the treatment 100 ppm ZnO-NPs+60 Gy. Meanwhile, the irradiation dose level (60 Gy) combined with ZnO-NPs 200 ppm provided its maximum increase (1.069 mg/g FW) for proline content. Moreover, the anatomical studies declared that the leave epidermal tissue increased with 200 ppm ZnO-NPs in both the upper and lower epidermis. Molecular markers targeted by SCoT primers in the recent study that refer to genetic alterations caused by soaking seeds in ZnO-NPs with or without irradiation. Ten SCoT-primers succeeded in amplifying a total of 129 amplicons of which 123 were reproducible polymorphic amplicons by 94.9% with a range between 188 and 4657 bp. A total of these markers 55, with different molecular sizes, 31 of them are positive and 24 are negative. Where the lowest value of PIT % was when soaking seeds in 200 ppm (48.3%). In contrast, irradiation led to an increase in the PIT % with increasing ZnO-NPs concentrations upto 100 ppm (65.3%). whilst, the effect of soaking at 200 ppm was beneficial in decreasing the value of PIT % (to 51.9%), It could be recommended to apply ZnO-NPs for plant improvement without compromising the morphological as well as nutritional qualities. The appropriate application of ZnO-NPs would also help to improve the nutritional value of crop products.

Acknowledgements The authors grateful to express their gratitude to the Egyptian Atomic Energy authority, as well as October University for Modern Science and Arts (MSA), Faculty of Biotechnology and Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University for helping and supporting to conduct the recent research.

Author contributions All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by AA, NE, AE and AE-MH. The first draft of the manuscript was written by AA, NE, GS and AE-MH. All authors revised the previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Abdel-Hady MS, Okasha EM, Soliman SSA, Talaat M (2008) Effect of gamma radiation and gibberellic acid on germination and alkaloid production in *Atropa belladonna* l. Aust J Basic Appl Sci 2(3):401–405
- Adhikari S, Saha S, Bandyopadhyay TK, Ghosh P (2015) Efficiency of ISSR marker for characterization of Cymbopogon germplasms and their suitability in molecular bar coding. Plant Syst Evol 301(1):439–450
- Ahmad P, Ahanger MA, Egamberdieva D, Alam P, Alyemeni MN, Ashraf M (2018) Modification of osmolytes and antioxidant enzymes by 24-epibrassinolide in chickpea seedlings under mercury (Hg) Toxicity. J Plant Growth Regul 37:309–322. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00344-017-9730-6
- Ahmed OA, Abd El-Aziz MH (2021) Description and evaluation of some newly introduced grape cultivars under Egyptian conditions. J Agric Chem Biotech, Mansoura Univ 12(6):127–136. https://doi.org/10.21608/jacb. 2021.187453
- Ahmed N, Ahmad F, Abid M, Ullah MA (2009) Impact of zinc fertilization on gas exchange characteristics and water use efficiency of cotton crop under arid environment. Pak J Bot 4(5):2189–2197
- Ahmed AR, Soliman KA, Al-Senosy NK, NK, Omar SA, (2022) Genetic polymorphisms of four Egyptian plant species using some molecular markers techniques RAPD, ISSR, and SCoT. J Agric Chem Biotech Mansoura Univ 13(1):21–24
- Al Jabri H, Saleem MH, Rizwan M, Hussain I, Usman K, Alsafran M (2022) Zinc oxide nanoparticles and their biosynthesis: overview. Life 12:594. https://doi.org/10. 3390/life12040594
- Al-Harbi HFA, Abdelhaliem E, Araf NM (2019) Modulatory effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles on gamma radiationinduced genotoxicity in *Vicia faba* (Fabeaceae). Genet Mol Res 18(1):GMR18232. https://doi.org/10.4238/ gmr18232

- Aly AA (2010) Biosynthesis of phenolic compounds and water soluble vitamins in culantro (*Eryngium foetidum* L.) plantlets as affected by low doses of gamma irradiation. An Univ Din Oradea Fasc Biol 2:356–361
- Aly AA, Maraei RW, Ayadi S (2018) Some biochemical changes in two Egyptian bread wheat cultivars in response to gamma irradiation and salt stress. Bulg J Agric Sci 24:50–59
- Aly AA, Noha EE, Rabab WM (2019a) Genetic variation based on ISSR in two wheat cultivars after exposing to gamma radiation. ScienceAsia 45(5):436–445. https:// doi.org/10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2019.45.436
- Aly AA, Eliwa NE, AbdEl-Megid MH (2019b) Stimulating effect of gamma radiation on some active compounds in eggplant fruits. Egypt J Rad Sci Appl 32:61–73. https:// doi.org/10.21608/ejrsa.2019.10024.106610
- Aly AA, Eliwa NE, Borik ZM, Safwat G (2021a) Physiological variation of irradiated red radish plants and their phylogenic relationship using SCoT and CDDP markers. Not Bot Horti Agrobot Cluj-Napocaj 49:12396. https:// doi.org/10.15835/nbha49312396
- Aly AA, Maraei RW, Louis YA, Safwat G (2021b) Assessment of irradiated TiO₂ nanoparticles on the growth and nutritional components of broccoli. Not Bot Horti Agrobot 49:12397. https://doi.org/10.15835/NBHA49312397
- Aly AA, El-Desouky W, Abou El-Leel OF (2022) Micropropagation, phytochemical content and antioxidant activity of gamma irradiated blackberry (*Rubus fruticosus* L.) plantlets. In Vitr Cell Dev Biol Plant 58:457–469. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11627-021-10244-7
- Aly AA, Maraei RW, Sharafeldin RG, Safwat G (2023a) Yield traits of red radish seeds obtained from plants produced from γ-irradiated seeds and their oil characteristics. Gesunde Pflanzen. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10343-023-00859-8
- Aly AA, Eliwa NE, Ahmed T, Borik ZM (2023b) Physiological and biochemical markers of gamma irradiated white radish (*Raphanus Sativus*). Int J Radiat Biol. https://doi. org/10.1080/09553002.2023.2176561
- Anselmo AC, Mitragotri S (2019) Nanoparticles in the clinic: an update. Bioeng Transl Med 4:e10143. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/btm2.10143
- Atteh A, Adeyeye A (2022) Effect of low gamma irradiation on the germination and morphological characteristics of broad beans (*Vicia faba* L.), mung beans (*Vigna radiata* L.), and peas (*Pisum sativum* L.) seedlings. Nat Res 13:105–125. https://doi.org/10.4236/nr.2022.135008
- Awan S, Shahzadi K, Javad S (2020) A preliminary study of influence of zinc oxide nanoparticles on growth parameters of *Brassica oleracea* var italic. J Saudi Soc Agric Sci 20(1):18–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2020.10.003
- Babajani A, Iranbakhsh AZO, Eslami B (2019) Differential growth, nutrition, physiology, and gene expression in *Melissa officinalis* mediated by zinc oxide and elemental selenium nanoparticles. Environ Sci Poll Res 26:24430– 24444. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05676-z
- Bates L, Waldren RP, Teare ID (1973) Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant Soil 39:205– 207. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00018060

- Benzecri JP (1992) Correspondence analysis handbook. In: Statistics:a series of textbooks and monographs. Marcel DekkerInc, New York, p 125
- Bhawna AMZ, Arya L, Verma M (2017) Use of SCoT markers to assess the gene flow and population structure among two different populations of bottle gourd. Plant Gene 9:80–86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plgene.2016.09.001
- Burman U, Saini M, Kumar P (2013) Effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles on growth and antioxidant system of chickpea seedlings. Toxicol Environ Chem 95(4):605–612. https:// doi.org/10.1080/02772248.2013.803796
- Cakmak I (2008) Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: agronomic or genetic biofortification. Plant Soil 302(1–2):1– 17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9466-3
- Canon J, Alexandrino P, Bessa I, Carleos C, Carretero Y, Dunner S, Ferran N, Garcia D, Jordana J, Laloe D, Pereira A, Sanchez A, Moazami-Goudarzi K (2001) Genetic diversity measures of local European beef cattle breeds for conservation purposes. Genet Sel Evol 33(3):311–332. https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9686-33-3-311
- Carrouel F, Viennot S, Ottolenghi L, Gaillard C, Bourgeois D (2020) Nanoparticles as anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, and remineralizing agents in oral care cosmetics: a review of the current situation. Nanomaterials 10:140. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10010140
- Collard BCY, Mackill DJ (2009) Start codon targeted (SCoT) polymorphism: a simple, novel DNA marker technique for generating gene-targeted markers in plants. Plant Mol Biol Rep 27:86–93
- Dey JK, Das S, Mawlong LG (2018) Nanotechnology and its importance in micronutrient fertilization. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 7(5):2306–2325. https://doi.org/10. 20546/ijcmas.2018.705.267
- Duncan DB (1955) Multiple range and multiple 'F' tests. Biometrics 11(1):1–42. https://doi.org/10.2307/300147
- El-Beltagi HS, Mohamed HI, Mohammed AMA, Zaki LM, Mogazy AM (2013) Physiological and biochemical effects of γ-irradiation on cowpea plants (*Vigna sinensis*) under salt stress. Not Bot Horti Agrobo Cluj-Napoca 41(1):104–114
- El-Beltagi HS, Maraei RW, Shalaby TA, Aly AA (2022) Metabolites, nutritional quality and antioxidant activity of red radish roots affected by gamma rays. Agronomy 12:1916. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12081916
- El-Saadony MT, Saad AM, Najjar AA, Alzahrani SO, Alkhatib FM, Selem E, Desoky SM, Fouda SS, El-Tahan AM, Hassan MAA (2021) The use of biological selenium nanoparticles in controlling *Triticum aestivum* L. crown root and rot diseases induced by *Fusarium* species and improve yield under drought and heat stress. Saudi J Biol Sci 28:4461–4471
- EL-shaer HF, Ibrahim SD, (2021) Evaluation of genetic stability using SCoT markers and SDS-PAGE with gamma radiation on callus of (*Atropa belladonna* L.) and antioxidant activity. Al-Azhar J Agric Res 46(2):101–112
- Faizan M, Faraz A, Yusuf Y, Khan ST, Hayat S (2018) Zinc oxide nanoparticle-mediated changes in photosynthetic efficiency and antioxidant system of tomato plants. Photosynthetica 56:678–686. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11099-017-0717-0

- Faizan M, Faraz A, Mir AR, Hayat S (2020) Role of zinc oxide nanoparticles in countering negative effects generated by cadmium in *Lycopersicon esculentum*. J Plant Growth Regul 40:101–115. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00344-019-10059-2
- Fraceto LF, Grillo R, de Medeiros GA, Scognamiglio V, Rea G, Bartolucci C (2016) Nanotechnology in agriculture: which innovation potential does it have? Front Environ Sci 4:20. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2016.00020
- Galla NR, Pamidighantam PR, Karakala B, Gurusiddaiah MR, Akula S (2016) Nutritional, textural and sensory quality of biscuits supplemented with spinach (*Spinacia* oleracea L.). Int J Gastron Food Sci 7:20–26. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2016.12.003
- Gorji M (2011) The effect of job burnout dimension on employees performance. Int J Social Sci Humanity. https://doi.org/10.7763/IJSSH.2011.V1.43
- Greenacre MJ (1984) Theory and applications of Correspondence Analysis. Academic Press, London
- Guo Q, Li H, Zheng W, Pan J, Lu J, Li J, Zheng Y (2022) Analysis of genetic diversity and prediction of Larix species distribution in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau China. J For Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-022-01513-1
- Hmelak Gorenjak A, Cenci[°]c A (2013) Nitrate in vegetables and their impact on human health a review. Acta Aliment 42:158–172. https://doi.org/10.1556/aalim.42. 2013.2.4
- Jedrzejczyk I (2020) Genome size and SCoT markers as tools for identification and genetic diversity assessment in Echinacea genus. Ind Crops Prod 144:112055. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.112055
- Lam C (2014) Visualizing categorical data: An introduction to correspondence analysis for technical communication researchers. IEEE International Professional Communication Conference (IPCC), pp 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1109/ IPCC.2014.7020345.
- Latef AA, Abdel Alhmad MFA, Abdelfattah KE (2017) The possible roles of priming with ZnO nanoparticles in mitigation of salinity stress in lupine (*lupinus termis*) plants. J Plant Growth Regul 36(1):60–70. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00344-016-9618-x
- Liu X, Lu Z, Wu J et al (2022) Comparison of genetic impact on growth and wood traits between seedlings and clones from the same plus trees of *Pinus koraiensis*. J for Res. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11676-022-01480-7
- Luo C, He XH, Chen H, Ou SJ, Gao MP (2010) Analysis of diversity and relationships among mango cultivars using start codon targeted (SCoT) markers. Biochem Syst Ecol 38(6):1176–1184
- Mehrian SK, De Lima R (2016) Nanoparticles cyto and genotoxicity in plants: mechanisms and abnormalities. Environ Nanotech Monitoring Manag 6:184–193
- Menexes G, Koutsos T (2021). Correspondence analysis and kriging Projection of quantitative Information on the factorial maps. In: Chadjipadelis T, Lausen B, MarkosA, Lee TR, Montanari A, Nugent R. (Eds.). Data analysis and rationality in a complex world IFCS 2019 Studies in Classification, Data Analysis, and Knowledge Organization. Cham: Springer
- Moghadam VA, Iranbakhsh A, Saadatmand S, Ebadi M (2022) New insights into the transcriptional, epigenetic, and

physiological responses to zinc oxide nanoparticles in *Datura stramonium*; potential species for phytoremediation. J Plant Growth Regul 41:271–281. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00344-021-10305-6

- Nassar MA, El- Sahhar KF (1998) Botanical preparations and microscopy (microtechnique). Academic Book shop, Giza, p 219 (In Arabic)
- Neto ME, Britt DW, Lara LM, Cartwright A, Santos RF, Inoue TT, Batista MA (2020) Initial development of corn seedlings after seed priming with nanoscale synthetic zinc oxide. Agronomy 10:307–317. https://doi.org/10.3390/ agronomy10020307
- Nicol C, Willey N (2018) Ionizing radiation, higher plants, and radioprotection: from acute high doses to chronic low doses. Front Plant Sci 9:847. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls. 2018.00847
- Pissard A, Arbizu C, Ghislain M, Bertin P (2008) Influence of geographical provenance on the genetic structure and diversity of the vegetatively propagated andean tuber crop, mashua (*Tropaeolum tuberosum*), highlighted by intersimple sequence repeat markers and multivariate analysis methods. Int J Plant Sci 169:1248–1260. https://doi.org/ 10.1086/591979
- Plaksenkova I, Jermaļonoka M, Bankovska L, Gavarāne I, Gerbreders V, Sledevskis E et al (2019) Effects of Fe3O₄ nanoparticle stress on the growth and development of rocket *Eruca sativa*. J Nanomater 2019:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2678247
- Plaksenkova I, Kokina I, Petrova A, Jermaļonoka M, Gerbreders V, Krasovska M (2020) The impact of zinc oxide nanoparticles on cytotoxicity, genotoxicity, and miRNA expression in barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) seedlings. Sci World J 30:6649746. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/66497 46
- Prevost A, Wilkinson M (1999) A new system of comparing PCR primers applied to ISSR fingerprinting of potato cultivars. Theor Appl Genet 98:107–112. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s001220051046
- Priester JH, Moritz SC, Espinosa K, Ge Y, Wang Y, Nisbet RM, Schimel JP, Goggi A, Gardea-Torresdey JL, Holden PA (2017) Damage assessment for soybean cultivated in soil with either CeO₂ or ZnO manufactured nanomaterials. Sci Total Environ 579:1756–1768. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.149
- Pruthvi Raj N, Chandrashekara CP (2021) Soil and plant nutrient status of cotton as influenced by different methods of application of nano ZnO. J Pharmacogn Phytochem 10(2):1386–1389. https://doi.org/10.22271/phyto.2021. v10.i2r.14011
- Ragab SM, Turoop L, Runo S, Nyanjom S (2022) Nanoparticle treatments based on zinc oxide and *Moringa oleifera* leaf extracts alleviate salinity stress in faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). J Agric Chem Environ 11:42–65. https://doi.org/10. 4236/jacen.2022.111004
- Rahmani F, Peymani A, Daneshvand E, Biparva P (2016) Impact of zinc oxide and copper oxide nano-particles on physiological and molecular processes in *Brassica napus*. Indian J Plant Physiol 21(2):122–128. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s40502-016-0212-9
- Rajput VD, Minkina T, Kumari A, Harish Singh VK, Verma KK, Mandzhieva S, Sushkova S, Srivastava S, Keswani

C (2021) Coping with the challenges of abiotic stress in plants: new dimensions in the field application of nanoparticles. Plants 10:1221. https://doi.org/10.3390/plant s10061221

- Ramegowda Y, Venkategowda R, Jagadish P, Govind G, Hanumanthareddy R, Makarla U (2013) Expression of a rice Zn transporter, OsZIP1, increases Zn concentration in tobacco and finger millet transgenic plants. Plant Biotechnol 6(2):72–77. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11816-012-0264-x
- Raskar SV, Laware SL (2014) Effect of zinc oxide nanoparticles on cytology and seed germination in onion. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 3:467–473
- Rizwan M, Ali S, Ali B, Adrees M, Arshad M, Hussain A, ur Rehman MZ, Waris AA (2019) Zinc and iron oxide nanoparticles improved the plant growth and reduced the oxidative stress and cadmium concentration in wheat. Chemosphere 214:269–277. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemo sphere.2018.09.120
- Rosmala A, Khumaida N, Sukma D (2016) Alteration of leaf anatomy of handeuleum (*Graptophyllum pictum* L. Griff) due to gamma irradiation. Hayati J Biosci 23(3):138–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hjb.2016.12.003
- Sabir S, Arshad M, Chaudhari SK (2014) Zinc oxide nanoparticles for revolutionizing agriculture: synthesis and applications. Sci World J. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/925494
- Sawant SV, Singh PK, Gupta SK, Madnala R, Tuli R (1999) Conserved nucleotide sequences in highly expressed genes in plants. Genet 78:123–131
- Servin AD, White JC (2016) Nanotechnology in agriculture: Next steps for understanding engineered nanoparticle exposure and risk. Nano Impact 1:9–12. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.impact.2015.12.002
- Shende S, Rajput V, Gade A, Minkina T, Sushkova SN, Mandzhieva SS, Boldyreva VE (2021) Metal-based green synthesized nanoparticles: Boon for sustainable agriculture and food security. IEEE TransNanoBiosci 21(1):44–54. https://doi.org/10.1109/TNB.2021.3089773
- Siddiqui MH, Al-Whaibi MH, Firoz M, Al-Khaishany MY (2015) Role of nanoparticles in plants. In: Siddigui MH, Al-Whaibi MH, Mohammad F (eds) Nanotechnology and Plant Science. Nanoparticles and Their Impact on Plants. Springer, Cham, pp 9–35
- Simkin RD, Seto KC, MecDonald RI, Jetz W (2022) Biodiversity impacts and conservation implications of urban land expansion projected to 2050. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 27:e2208328119. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.22083 28119
- Singh D, Sharma NL, Singh CK, Sarkar SK, Singh I, Dotaniya ML (2020) Effect of chromium (VI) toxicity on morphophysiological characteristics, yield, and yield components of two chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties. PLoS ONE 15(12):e0243032. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal
- Singh BR, Kumar A, Kaur S, Saha S, Kumar A, Kumar S (2021) Impact of metal oxide nanoparticles on cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.): a physiological perspective. J Cotton Res 4:16. https://doi.org/10.1186/ s42397-021-00092-6
- Sirhindi G, Mir MA, Abd-Allah EF, Ahmad P, Gucel S (2016) Jasmonic acid modulates the physio-biochemical attributes, antioxidant enzyme activity, and gene expression in

Glycine max under nickel toxicity. Front Plant Sci. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00591

- Sivakumar P, Sharmila P, Pardha S (2000) Proline alleviates salt-stress-induced enhancement in ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate oxygenase activity. Biochem Biophy Res Commun 279(2):512–5168
- Sohail MT, Mustafa S, Ali MM, Riaz S (2022) Agricultural communities' risk assessment and the effects of climate change: a pathway toward green productivity and sustainable development. Front Environ Sci 10:948016. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.948016
- Tirani MM, Madadkar Haghjou M, Ismaili A (2019) Hydroponic grown tobacco plants respond to zinc oxide nanoparticles and bulk exposures by morphological, physiological and anatomical adjustments. Funct Plant Biol 46:360. https://doi.org/10.1071/FP18076
- Torabian S, Zahedi M, Khoshgo A (2016) Effect of foliar spray of zinc oxide on some antioxidant enzymes activity of sunflower under salt stress. J Agric Sci Technol 18:1013–1025
- Tymoszuk A, Kulus D (2020) Silver nanoparticles induce genetic, biochemical, and phenotype variation in chrysanthemum. Plant Cell Tiss Organ Cult 143:331–344. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s11240-020-01920-4
- Usman M, Farooq M, Wakeel A, Nawaz A, Cheema SA, Rehman HU, Ashraf I, Sanaullah M (2020) Nanotechnology in agriculture: Current status, challenges and future opportunities. Sci Total Environ 721:137778. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137778
- Vanmathi S, Arulbalachandran D, Selva-kumar G, Dilipan E (2022) ⁶⁰Co gamma ray induced mutants of cowpea and assessment of genet-ic variability by SCoT marker. Plant Science Today 9(3):672–680. https://doi.org/10.14719/ pst.1623
- Vernon LP, Seely GR (1966) The Chlorophylls. Academic Press, New York, p 9
- De Vicente MC, C. Lopez, and Fulton T (2004) Genetic diversity analysis with molecular marker data. Vol 2. Learning module. CD-ROM. International Plant Genetic Resources Institute, Rome, Italy, Universidad Nacional Agraria 'La molina', Peru, and Institute for Genomic Diversity, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA
- Wang XP, Li QQ, Pei ZM, Wang SC (2018) Effects of zinc oxide nanoparticles on the growth, photosynthetic traits, and antioxidative enzymes in tomato plants. Biol Plant 62:801–808. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10535-018-0813-4
- Xiong F, Zhong R, Han Z, Jiang J, He L, Zhuang W, Tang R (2011) Start codon targeted polymorphism for evaluation of functional genetic variation and relationships in cultivated peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) genotypes. Mol Biol Rep 38:3487–3494
- Xu C, Leskovar DI (2015) Effects of A. nodosum seaweed extracts on spinach growth, physiology and nutrition value under drought stress. Sci Hortic 183:39–47. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.scienta.2014.12.00485
- Yang A, Wu J, Deng C, Wang T, Bian P (2018) Genotoxicity of zinc oxide nanoparticles in plants demonstrated using transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 101:514–520. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00128-018-2420-7

- Zeeshan M, Han J, Alam Rehman HB, Farooq N, Waseem M, Hussain A et al (2021) Nexus between foreign direct investment, energy consumption, natural resource, and economic growth in Latin American countries. Int J Energy Econ Policy 11(1):407–416
- Zhang X, Li W, Wu D, Deng Y, Shao J, Chen L, Fang D (2019) Size and shape dependent melting temperature of metallic nanomaterials. J Phys Condens Matter 31:075701. https:// doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/aaf54b

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.